Matthew A. Brill (202) 637-1095 matthew.brill@lw.com

## LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

October 3, 2012

## VIA ECFS – EX PARTE

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20004-1304 Tel: +1.202.637.2200 Fax: +1.202.637.2201

www.lw.com

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES Abu Dhabi Moscow Barcelona Beijing New Jersey New York Boston Brussels Orange County Chicago Paris Doha Riyadh Dubai Rome Frankfurt San Diego San Francisco Hamburg Shanghai Hong Kong Houston Silicon Valley London Singapore

Los Angeles

Madrid Washington, D.C.

Tokvo

Milan

Re: Revision of the Commission's Program Access Rules,

MB Docket Nos. 12-68, 07-18, 05-192

Dear Ms. Dortch,

On October 1, 2012, Cristina Pauzé of Time Warner Cable ("TWC") and the undersigned met with Elizabeth Andrion, on behalf of the Office of Chairman Genachowski, together with Bill Lake, Michelle Carey, Kathy Berthot, Steve Broeckaert, David Konzcal, and Mary Beth Murphy of the Media Bureau to elaborate on TWC's written submissions supporting the sunset of the categorical ban on exclusive contracts involving satellite cable programming vendors that are affiliated with a cable operator.

At this meeting, we argued that the existing exclusivity ban should sunset without any conditions or superseding regulation. And to the extent that the Commission chooses to single out exclusivity arrangements involving regional sports networks ("RSNs") for regulation notwithstanding the content-based nature of such an approach—we argued that the Commission should reject any proposal to categorically ban exclusivity. Indeed, we argued that the D.C. Circuit's decision upholding the presumptive right of access to terrestrially delivered RSNs was contingent on the absence of a categorical ban, and that any such ban would be legally unsustainable. We further suggested that, if the Commission extends the presumption of access applicable to terrestrially delivered RSNs to satellite-delivered programming, it should reject proposals to adopt a series of additional presumptions with respect to RSN programming (and/or other assertedly "must have" programming). In particular, the Commission should reject recent proposals to presume that withholding any RSN programming or certain other content necessarily (a) constitutes an "unfair act," (b) has the purpose or effect of significantly hindering the complainant's ability to compete, and (c) entitles a complainant to interim injunctive relief, <sup>1</sup>

See Letter of Kevin G. Rupy, on behalf of the Coalition for Competitive Access to Content ("CA2C"), to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, FCC, MB Docket Nos. 12-69, 07-18, 05-192 (filed Sept. 26, 2012).

## LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

as such measures would unreasonably tilt the adjudicatory process in favor of complainants and would undercut the benefits of a case-by-case approach that is ostensibly intended to address the specific marketplace dynamics relevant to any given dispute.

Finally, in response to parties' complaints about the timing of Commission adjudications of program access complaints, we noted that the appropriate response would be to address such procedural concerns directly, rather than to impose unwarranted substantive restrictions on cable operators and their affiliated programming vendors. For example, we noted that the Commission has established a comprehensive set of Accelerated Docket procedures for complaints against telecommunications carriers, *see* 47 C.F.R. § 1.730, and suggested that similar measures might be employed to accelerate certain program access proceedings in appropriate circumstances. We also noted that a five-month deadline for resolving program access complaints might be reasonable, whereas the 60-day default-grant proposal advanced by CA2C plainly would afford insufficient time for reasonable decisionmaking and would produce arbitrary and capricious results.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions regarding this notice.

Sincerely,

/s/

Matthew A. Brill LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Counsel for Time Warner Cable Inc.